Econometrics for Public Policy II Fall 2023

## Problem Set 1

Due before Class 3, September 13

There are two datasets for this problem set: one for 1950 and another for 2010. (You should see links in the previous sentence for data downloading.) If you are using R, use the haven package and the **read\_dta** function to read these data. If you need another type of dataset, please let me know and I'm happy to provide.

Each dataset has one observation per US county in that year (1950 or 2010). Data come from the Decennial Cenus (1950, some 2010) and the American Community Survey (2010, which is really 2008-2012 5-year average). All variables are labeled. The census tabulates data from the individual collection at a variety of levels of geography; here we use county-level data.

The variables statefips/countyfips uniquely identify observations in each dataset. You can find definitions for the statefips and countyfips variables at this helpful webpage from the University of Missouri. and many other websites.

Use Stata's describe command to see the definitions of the remaining variables.

Please turn in a set of written answers to these problems, as well as a do file (or program from the statistical software of your choice). The program file should have comments that indicate the commands associated with each question.

You are both welcome and encouraged to work on this problem set with your classmates. The problem set you turn in should be your own work – both the code and the written output. If we notice exactly duplicative work, we will give zero credit to both assignments.

- 1. Summary statistics
  - a. Make a panel dataset from 1950 and 2010, meaning a dataset that has one observation per county and year. In this dataset, most counties will have two observations, one for 1950 and one for 2010. Stata's append command stacks one dataset on top of another.
  - b. By year, find the average of
    - population (cv1)
    - log of population (create yourself from cv1)
    - share white (s1)
    - share black (s2)

- share women age 25+ with education of some college or more (s3)
- share men age 25+ with education of some college or more (s4)

Use one command to find all these averages. In Stata, you can use collapse combined with , by(year).

After using collapse, you can use outsheet to output the resulting dataset as a txt or csv. Using this output file, it should not be difficult to create a labeled table.

c. Find averages of the same variables by year and state for California, Mississippi and New Jersey.

Note that California's state code is 6, and that it has a leading zero – so write it 06. Again, use Stata's collapse combined with , by(year state) to generate these outputs. Also again, you can use Stata's outsheet command to output the data you've created to a .csv or .txt file.

- 2. Matching Data
  - a. How many counties are in both the 1950 and 2010 datasets?

In the previous question you created a panel dataset. To answer this question, you may prefer to make a "wide" dataset with one observation per county. It may be helpful to make a indicator variable (0/1) for having an observation in a given year in the 1950 and 2010 datasets, and merge the datasets.

In the merged dataset, you can use Stata's tab command to see a cross-tab of two indicator variables. For example, if your variables are called y1950 and y2010, you can tell Stata to report tab y1950 y2010. Correctly interpreting this table will tell you the answer to the first three parts of this question.

- b. How many counties are in the 1950 dataset, but not the 2010 dataset?
- c. How many counties are in the 2010 dataset, but not the 1950 dataset?
- d. Investigate two counties that are in the 2010 dataset, but not the 1950 dataset. Why is this?
- 3. Regressions
  - a. Return to the panel dataset from question 1.
  - b. Regress log of population on the four share variables from question 1 and a fixed effect for year = 2010.

For this and the next question, it is sufficient to paste the results from the log; for future problem sets you will need to make a regression table, but you do not need one here.

In Stata, there are multiple says to create indicator variables and use them in a regression. Here are two equivalent methods:

- gen y2010 == 0 replace y2010 = 1 if year == 2010 regress y x y2010
- xi: regress y x i.y2010
- You can test for yourself whether these yield equivalent results
- c. Interpret the coefficient on the year indicator variable
- d. Repeat the previous regression with state fixed effects
- e. Interpret one of the share coefficients from the second regression
- 4. Long and Short Regressions and Omitted Variable Bias
  - From the lecture, we learned the omitted variable bias formula. Now you're going to calculate a specific example.
  - We limit our analysis just to 2010.
  - We are interested in the impact of the share of college educated men on the employment to population ratio and on the extent of omitted variable bias if we exclude the share of women who are college educated.
    - Let  $E_i$ , defined as cv59 / cv1, denote the employment to population ratio in county i
    - Let  $M_i$  be the share of men age 25 or above who are college educated in county i
    - Let  $W_i$  be the share of women age 25 or above who are college educated in county i
  - Let's suppose that we have a "true" long equation

$$E_i = \beta_0 + \beta_l M_i + \gamma W_i + \epsilon_{l,i} \tag{1}$$

• However, we sometimes want to be lazy and estimate a "short" regression:

$$E_i = \beta_0 + \beta_s M_i + \epsilon_{s,i} \tag{2}$$

• How bad is the short regression? The omitted variable bias formula tells us that

$$\beta_s - \beta_l = \pi * \gamma \tag{3}$$

where  $\gamma$  is the coefficient on W from the long regression and  $\pi$  is the coefficient on M from this regression that estimates the strength of the correlation between M and W:

$$W_i = \alpha + \pi M_i + \epsilon_{c,i} \tag{4}$$

- a. Estimate equations 1, 2 and 4 above.
- b. Use your estimated coefficients to show that the omitted variable bias formula (equation ??) holds. To do so, write the estimates for  $\beta_s$  and  $\beta_l$ , and show that their difference is equal to the difference between your estimates of  $\pi$  and  $\gamma$ .

## How to Turn This In

Write a Piazza email, attaching your code, the code's output and a separate doc with clearly written questions and answers. Send this note to "Instructors" and select the folder "hw1\_submissions"