Event Study

Validity

Opioids

Lecture 4: Difference in Difference, 2 of 2

February 5, 2025

<□▶ <圕▶ < 클▶ < 클▶ · 클 · 의 Q (~ 1/47

Validity

Opioids

Course Administration

- 1. Graded summaries through mid-day today
- 2. No lab after class this week
- 3. PS 2 due next week

- 4. Any problem set 2 issues?
- 5. If you haven't identified a replication paper, I'm nervous
- 6. Any other issues?



Special request: Interpreting logs

S

Event Study

Validit

Opioids

Today

Special request: Interpreting logs Relaxing diff-in-diff: event study

- $1. \ \ \text{Simplest possible event study}$
- 2. Diff-in-diff event study
- 3. Estimating trends
- 4. Testing for trends
- 5. Important things we don't cover

ĮS

Event Study

Validit

Opioids

Today

Special request: Interpreting logs Relaxing diff-in-diff: event study

- $1. \ \ \text{Simplest possible event study}$
- 2. Diff-in-diff event study
- 3. Estimating trends
- 4. Testing for trends
- 5. Important things we don't cover

Janssen and Zhang

- 1. Diff-in-diff specification
- 2. Event study specification

Event Study

Validity

Opioids

0. Interpreting Logs

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Validity

Opioids

What is the log Function?

• function that squishes x

<ロト <回ト < Eト < Eト E のQで 5/47

Validity

Opioids

What is the log Function?

- function that squishes x
- log law:

$$\log(a) - \log(b) = \log(\frac{a}{b})$$

- ullet \to log differences are ratios
- We can interpret 1.05 as a \sim 5% difference

Validity

Opioids

What is the log Function?

- function that squishes x
- log law:

$$\log(a) - \log(b) = \log(\frac{a}{b})$$

- $\bullet \ \rightarrow \ {\rm log} \ {\rm differences} \ {\rm are} \ {\rm ratios}$
- We can interpret 1.05 as a \sim 5% difference



Validity

Opioids

What is the log Function?

- function that squishes x
- log law:

$$\log(a) - \log(b) = \log(\frac{a}{b})$$

- $\bullet \ \rightarrow \ {\rm log} \ {\rm differences} \ {\rm are} \ {\rm ratios}$
- We can interpret 1.05 as a \sim 5% difference



How to Interpret a Coefficient When the Dependent Variable is Logged?

Suppose we estimate

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + \epsilon \qquad (1)$$

Logs

How do we interpret β_1 ?

How to Interpret a Coefficient When the Dependent Variable is Logged?

Suppose we estimate

 $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + \epsilon \qquad (1)$

Logs

How do we interpret β_1 ?

And if we estimate

 $\log(Y) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 X + \epsilon \qquad (2)$

How do we interpret α_1 ?

How to Interpret a Coefficient When the Dependent Variable is Logged?

Suppose we estimate

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + \epsilon \qquad (1$$

Logs

How do we interpret β_1 ?

• In Eq. 2: 1 unit change in $X \to \alpha$ change in $\log(Y)$

And if we estimate

 $\log(Y) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 X + \epsilon \qquad (2)$

How do we interpret α_1 ?

How to Interpret a Coefficient When the Dependent Variable is Logged?

Suppose we estimate

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + \epsilon \qquad (1$$

Logs

How do we interpret β_1 ?

And if we estimate

$$\log(Y) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 X + \epsilon \qquad (2)$$

How do we interpret α_1 ?

- In Eq. 2: 1 unit change in $X \to \alpha$ change in $\log(Y)$
- ullet ightarrow e^lpha unit change in $e^{\log(Y)}=Y$
- When lpha is small, $e^{lpha} \sim 1 + lpha$

How to Interpret a Coefficient When the Dependent Variable is Logged?

Suppose we estimate

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + \epsilon \qquad (1)$$

Logs

How do we interpret β_1 ?

And if we estimate

$$\log(Y) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 X + \epsilon \qquad (2$$

How do we interpret α_1 ?

- In Eq. 2: 1 unit change in $X \to \alpha$ change in $\log(Y)$
- $ightarrow e^{lpha}$ unit change in $e^{\log(Y)} = Y$
- When lpha is small, $e^{lpha} \sim 1 + lpha$
- \rightarrow interpret α as percent change in log(Y) for 1-unit change in X

When α is close to $1+\alpha$



(ロ) (四) (主) (主) (主) (つ) (の)

Event Study

Validity

Opioids

1. Simplest Event Study



gs

Event Study

Validit

Opioids

Basic Set-Up

- We want to know the impact of X on Y
- Over time, the treatment X changes increases, decreases, appears, disappears
- Compare outcomes Y before and after change in X
- Examples, please!

Validity

Opioids

Last Week: Only Before and After



More Dots: Observe Each i in each time t



Event Study

More Dots: Observe Each i in each time t



- All *i* are treated
- At all times $t > T_0$

Equation to estimate average Y after?

Event Study

Vá

More Dots: Observe Each i in each time t



- All *i* are treated
- At all times $t > T_0$

Equation to estimate average Y after?

 $Y_{i,t} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 after_t + \epsilon_{i,t}$

where after_t is 1 for years $t > T_0$.

<ロ><回><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日</td>11/47

Event Study

Valid

More Dots: Observe Each i in each time t



- All *i* are treated
- At all times $t > T_0$

Equation to estimate average Y after?

 $Y_{i,t} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 after_t + \epsilon_{i,t}$

where after t is 1 for years $t > T_0$.

What does β_1 report?

ogs

Event Study

Validit

Opioids

What β_1 Reports



- All *i* are treated
- At all times $t > T_0$

$$Y_{i,t} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 after_t + \epsilon_{i,t}$$

where after_t is 1 for years $t > T_0$

<□><□><□><□><□><□><□><□><□><□><□><0< 12/47

Event Study

Validity

Opioids

Estimating the Impact of Time Granularly



How do we estimate the impact of treatment in each period individually?

Event Study

Validity

Opioids

Estimating the Impact of Time Granularly



How do we estimate the impact of treatment in each period individually?

$$Y_{i,t} = \beta_0 + \beta_{1,t} 1\{\mathsf{time} = t\}_t + \epsilon_{i,t}$$

Validity

Opioids

Raw Data: Event Study Diagram



Event Study

Validit

Opioids

Raw Data: Event Study Diagram



$$Y_{i,t} = \beta_0 + \beta_{1,t} 1\{ \mathsf{time} = t \}_t + \epsilon_{i,t}$$

- Regression coefficients should measure these means in the raw data
- What do you think a plot of β_{1,t} should look like?

Regression Coefficients: Event Study Diagram



Opioids

Regression Coefficients: Event Study Diagram



• Everything is relative to mean in year 1



Opioids

Regression Coefficients: Event Study Diagram



- Everything is relative to mean in year 1
- Why might comparing pre- and post blue dots not give the causal impact of X on Y?

Opioids

Regression Coefficients: Event Study Diagram



- Everything is relative to mean in year 1
- Why might comparing pre- and post blue dots not give the causal impact of X on Y?
- Given what we learned last class, how can we fix?

15 / 47

Event Study

Validity

Opioids

2. Diff-in-diff Event Study

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □



Opioids

Basic Set-Up

- We want to know the impact of X on Y
- Over time, the treatment X changes increases, decreases, appears, disappears
- Some units experience a change in X are treated and others are not
- Compare outcomes Y before and after change in X
- Examples, please!

Opioids

Review: How We Do This with Just Before and After



Review: How We Do This with Just Before and After



Equation to estimate impact of treatment?

- For treated i assign treated_i = 1
- Treatment at all times $t > T_0$

Equation to estimate diff-in-diff?


Equation to estimate impact of treatment?

- For treated *i* assign treated_{*i*} = 1
- Treatment at all times $t > T_0$

Equation to estimate diff-in-diff?

 $\begin{array}{rcl} Y_{i,t} &=& \beta_0 + \beta_1 \mathrm{treated}_i * \mathrm{after}_t \\ &+& \beta_2 \mathrm{treated}_i + \beta_3 \mathrm{after}_t + \epsilon_{i,t} \end{array}$

<ロト < 回 ト < 目 ト < 目 ト ミ の Q () 18/47

Treated and Untreated in an Event Study Framework



- For treated *i* assign treated_{*i*} = 1
- Treatment at all times $t > T_0$

If we estimate treatment impact via diff-in-diff equation

$$Y_{i,t} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{treated}_i * \text{after}_t + \beta_2 \text{treated}_i + \beta_3 \text{after}_t + \epsilon_{i,t}$$

what does it compare?

Treated and Untreated in an Event Study Framework



- For treated *i* assign treated_{*i*} = 1
- Treatment at all times $t > T_0$

If we estimate treatment impact via diff-in-diff equation

$$Y_{i,t} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{treated}_i * \text{after}_t + \beta_2 \text{treated}_i + \beta_3 \text{after}_t + \epsilon_{i,t}$$

what does it compare? Comparison is **still** all before vs all after, but relative to untreated

Event Study

Validit

Opioids

Estimating the Impact of Time Granularly: Event Study



Can we estimate the impact of each period individually?

Opioids

Estimating the Impact of Time Granularly: Event Study



Can we estimate the impact of each period individually?

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{i,t} &= \beta_0 + \beta_{1,t} \text{treated}_i * 1\{\text{time} = t\}_t \\ &+ \beta_2 \text{treated}_i + \beta_{3,t} 1\{\text{time} = t\}_t + \epsilon_{i,t} \end{aligned}$$

<ロト < 回 ト < 巨 ト < 巨 ト < 巨 ト 三 の Q (や 20 / 47 Event Study

Validity

Opioids

Estimating the Impact of Time Granularly: Event Study



Can we estimate the impact of each period individually?

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{i,t} &= \beta_0 + \beta_{1,t} \text{treated}_i * 1\{\text{time} = t\}_t \\ &+ \beta_2 \text{treated}_i + \beta_{3,t} 1\{\text{time} = t\}_t + \epsilon_{i,t} \end{aligned}$$

What do you expect $\beta_{1,t}$ to be given this figure?

Opioids

Estimating the Impact of Time Granularly: Regression Coefficients



Plot $\beta_{1,t}$:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} Y_{i,t} &=& \beta_0 + \beta_{1,t} \texttt{treated}_i * 1\{\texttt{time} = t\}_t \\ &+& \beta_2 \texttt{treated}_i + \beta_{3,t} 1\{\texttt{time} = t\}_t + \epsilon_{i,t} \end{array}$$

<ロト < 回 ト < 目 ト < 目 ト < 目 ト 目 の Q () 21/47

Opioids

Estimating the Impact of Time Granularly: Regression Coefficients



Plot $\beta_{1,t}$:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} Y_{i,t} &=& \beta_0 + \beta_{1,t} \texttt{treated}_i * 1\{\texttt{time} = t\}_t \\ &+& \beta_2 \texttt{treated}_i + \beta_{3,t} 1\{\texttt{time} = t\}_t + \epsilon_{i,t} \end{array}$$

But ...

- you may care about the change in trends
- you may want to estimate the effect net of trends

Event Study

Validity

Opioids

3. Estimating Trends

<ロト < 団 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 王 のQ() 22 / 47

Logs

Event Study

Validit

Opioids

On Trends



How do we calculate a linear trend for these data?

Logs

Event Study

Validit

Opioids

On Trends



How do we calculate a linear trend for these data?

inflation_t =
$$\alpha_0 + \alpha_1$$
year_t + ϵ_t

Logs

Event Study

Validit

Opioids

On Trends



How do we calculate a linear trend for these data?

inflation_t = $\alpha_0 + \alpha_1$ year_t + ϵ_t

 $\begin{aligned} \text{Graph } \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 * \text{year}_t \text{ where year}_t \text{ is } \\ \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\} \end{aligned}$

<ロト < 回 ト < 目 ト < 目 ト ミ の Q (* 23 / 47

Validity

Opioids

Just To Be Clear on Data

year	inflation	year2
1980	0.12	1
1981	0.10	2
1982	0.07	3
1983	0.03	4

 $\begin{aligned} \text{inflation}_t &= \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \text{year}_t + \epsilon_t \\ & \text{and} \\ & \text{inflation}_t &= \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \text{year}2_t + \epsilon_t \end{aligned}$

<ロト < 団ト < 巨ト < 巨ト < 巨ト 三 の Q (や 24 / 47

Validity

Opioids

Just To Be Clear on Data

year	inflation	year2
1980	0.12	1
1981	0.10	2
1982	0.07	3
1983	0.03	4

 $\begin{aligned} \text{inflation}_t &= \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \text{year}_t + \epsilon_t \\ & \text{and} \\ & \text{inflation}_t &= \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \text{year} 2_t + \epsilon_t \\ & \text{yield } \alpha_1 &= \gamma_1 \text{ , but not } \alpha_0 &= \gamma_0 \end{aligned}$

<ロト < 団ト < 巨ト < 巨ト < 巨ト 三 の Q (や 24 / 47

ogs

Event Study

Validity

Opioids

Adding Trends

What's odd about this line?



ogs

Event Study

Validity

Opioids

Adding Trends



What's odd about this line?

Make two lines

< □ > < 団 > < 団 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 三 の Q (~ 25 / 47 ogs

Event Study

Validit

Opioids

Adding Trends



What's odd about this line?

Make two lines

inflation_t = $\delta_0 + \delta_1 A_t + \delta_2 \text{year}_t + \delta_3 A_t * \text{year}_t + \epsilon_t$

where A_t is 1 if year_t > T_0 and 0 otherwise.

<ロト < 回 ト < 目 ト < 目 ト < 目 ト 目 の Q () 25 / 47 ogs

Validity

Opioids

Adding Trends



What's odd about this line?

Make two lines

inflation_t = $\delta_0 + \delta_1 A_t + \delta_2 \text{year}_t + \delta_3 A_t * \text{year}_t + \epsilon_t$

where A_t is 1 if year_t > T_0 and 0 otherwise.

What might you want T_0 to be?

<ロト < 回 ト < 目 ト < 目 ト ミ の Q (* 25 / 47

Opioids

Separate Trends



◆□ → ◆□ → ◆三 → ◆□ → ◆□ → ◆○ ◆

Validity

Opioids

Adding Linear Trends

What is a linear trend?

- a variable that increases linearly for each unit of time – here a year
- the calendar year is a trend variable
- this is different than a fixed effect

ogs

Event Study

Validity

Opioids

Adding Linear Trends

What is a linear trend?

- a variable that increases linearly for each unit of time – here a year
- the calendar year is a trend variable
- this is different than a fixed effect

ID	year	t1	t2
A	1990	1	5
А	1991	2	10
А	1992	3	15
В	2000	11	55
В	2001	12	60
В	2002	13	65

<ロト
<ロト
<日ト
<日ト
<日ト
<日ト
<日ト
<日ト
<日ト
<100

Event Study

Validity

Opioids

4. Validity Tests

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・今日・

28 / 47



Opioids

Validity Tests

- Parallel trends in the absence of treatment is unobservable
- But you can assess parallel trends pre-treatment
- This is precisely estimable

Opioids

Adding a Pre-Treatment Trend

Suppose you start with

 $Y_{i,t} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{treated}_i * \text{after}_t + \beta_2 \text{treated}_i + \beta_3 \text{after}_t + \epsilon_{i,t}$

and you want to test for pre-treatment trends. What do you do?

<ロト < 部ト < 言ト < 言ト こ の < で 30 / 47

Opioids

Adding a Pre-Treatment Trend

Suppose you start with

 $Y_{i,t} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{treated}_i * \text{after}_t + \beta_2 \text{treated}_i + \beta_3 \text{after}_t + \epsilon_{i,t}$

and you want to test for pre-treatment trends. What do you do?

- Use only data from before treatment
- Estimate

 $Y_{i,t} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \text{year}_t + \alpha_2 \text{treated}_i + \alpha_3 \text{treated}_i * \text{year}_t + \epsilon_{i,t}$

<ロト < 回 ト < 巨 ト < 巨 ト < 巨 ト 三 の へ () 30 / 47

Opioids

Adding a Pre-Treatment Trend

Suppose you start with

 $Y_{i,t} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{treated}_i * \text{after}_t + \beta_2 \text{treated}_i + \beta_3 \text{after}_t + \epsilon_{i,t}$

and you want to test for pre-treatment trends. What do you do?

- Use only data from before treatment
- Estimate

 $Y_{i,t} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \text{year}_t + \alpha_2 \text{treated}_i + \alpha_3 \text{treated}_i * \text{year}_t + \epsilon_{i,t}$

• What do we expect if there is no pre-treatment trend?

Opioids

Adding a Pre-Treatment Trend

Suppose you start with

 $Y_{i,t} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{treated}_i * \text{after}_t + \beta_2 \text{treated}_i + \beta_3 \text{after}_t + \epsilon_{i,t}$

and you want to test for pre-treatment trends. What do you do?

- Use only data from before treatment
- Estimate

 $Y_{i,t} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \text{year}_t + \alpha_2 \text{treated}_i + \alpha_3 \text{treated}_i * \text{year}_t + \epsilon_{i,t}$

• What do we expect if there is no pre-treatment trend? $\alpha_3 = 0$

Validity

Opioids

Additional Validity Tests

- Add unit-specific time trends. If these kill the effect, what does this tell us?
 - for example, you have state by year data
 - looking for the impact of a policy that hits some states and not others

Validity

Opioids

Additional Validity Tests

• Add unit-specific time trends. If these kill the effect, what does this tell us?

- for example, you have state by year data
- looking for the impact of a policy that hits some states and not others
- Triple difference not always possible

Event Study

Validity

Opioids

5. Important Things We Don't Cover

・ロ・・酉・・ヨ・・ヨ・ ヨー うへで

Time Is Limited, So We Skip Important Things

A non-exhaustive list includes

- 1. How serial correlation can inflate estimates. See Bertrand, et al., 2004
- 2. Heterogeneous treatment effects + differential treatment timing can bias estimates
 - large current literature
 - packages that can deal with these problems
 - think carefully about whether your comparison group is treated or not

Event Study

Validity

Opioids

Opioids and Event Studies

< □ > < 団 > < 団 > < 亘 > < 亘 > < 亘 > < 亘 > < Ξ > 34 / 47

Opioids

Order of Events

- 1. Paper background
- 2. Diff-in-diff strategies
 - 2.1 independent vs chain, geographic fixed effects
 - 2.2 exploit independents that change to chain
 - 2.3 independent vs chain, before and after reformulation



gs

Event Study

Validity

Opioids

Paper Basics

• What are the two key pharmacy types?

・ロト・西ト・モート ヨー うへの



Opioids

Paper Basics

- What are the two key pharmacy types?
- What is the causal research question?

< □ > < 部 > < 言 > < 言 > こ き < ○ へ () 36 / 47

Opioids

Paper Basics

- What are the two key pharmacy types?
- What is the causal research question?
- What are the potential challenges to identification? or, why don't we just compare outcomes at independents and chains?
Event Study

Validit

Opioids

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

э

36 / 47

Paper Basics

- What are the two key pharmacy types?
- What is the causal research question?
- What are the potential challenges to identification? or, why don't we just compare outcomes at independents and chains?

Data

5

Event Study

Validit

Opioids

Paper Basics

- What are the two key pharmacy types?
- What is the causal research question?
- What are the potential challenges to identification? or, why don't we just compare outcomes at independents and chains?

Data

- morphine equivalent doses (MEDs)
- by pharmacy
- by month

5

Event Study

Validit

Opioids

Paper Basics

- What are the two key pharmacy types?
- What is the causal research question?
- What are the potential challenges to identification? or, why don't we just compare outcomes at independents and chains?

Data

- morphine equivalent doses (MEDs)
- by pharmacy
- by month
- What is the unit of observation?
- And the unit of analysis?

E1: Independents vs Everyone Else

$$Y_{it} = \beta \mathsf{Indep}_i + \mu_t + \gamma_{FE} + \epsilon_{it}$$

- Y_{i,t} MED at pharmacy i at time t
- Indep_i: 1 if independent
- μ_t : year-month FE
- $\gamma_{\it FE}$: place FE

E1: Independents vs Everyone Else

$$Y_{it} = \beta \mathsf{Indep}_i + \mu_t + \gamma_{FE} + \epsilon_{it}$$

- $Y_{i,t}$ MED at pharmacy *i* at time *t*
- Indep_i: 1 if independent
- μ_t : year-month FE
- $\gamma_{\it FE}$: place FE

What sign do we expect for β ?

E1: Independents vs Everyone Else

$$Y_{it} = \beta \mathsf{Indep}_i + \mu_t + \gamma_{FE} + \epsilon_{it}$$

- $Y_{i,t}$ MED at pharmacy *i* at time *t*
- Indep_i: 1 if independent
- μ_t : year-month FE
- $\gamma_{\it FE}$: place FE

What sign do we expect for β ?

Validity

Opioids

E1: Independents vs Everyone Else

$$Y_{it} = \beta \mathsf{Indep}_i + \mu_t + \gamma_{FE} + \epsilon_{it}$$

- $Y_{i,t}$ MED at pharmacy *i* at time *t*
- Indep_i: 1 if independent
- μ_t : year-month FE
- γ_{FE} : place FE

What sign do we expect for β ?

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Independent	50.131 (4.908)	51.362 (4.912)	107.826 (5.551)	128.016 (5.875)
Constant	306.488 (2.109)			
Year-month fixed effects	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
County fixed effects	No	No	Yes	No
Zip code fixed effects	No	No	No	Yes
Mean outcome	327.19	327.19	327.19	327.19
Mean effect in percent	15.32	15.7	32.96	39.13
Observations R^2	5,055,761 0.002	5,055,761 0.010	5,055,761 0.089	5,055,761 0.225

Opioids

Putting Independent Finding in Context

	All	Chain	Independent
Panel D. Opioid dispensing	227.10	206.40	256.62
Monthly MED dispensing, all opioids	(541.11)	306.49 (342.89)	(735.15)

E2: Change in Ownership, Raw Data



39 / 47

≣⇒

E2: Change in Ownership, Regression Form

Estimate either

$$Y_{i,t} = \beta_0 D_{it}^{\mathsf{PRE}} + \beta_1 D_{it}^{\mathsf{POST}} + \beta_C \mathsf{CHAIN}_i + \mu_t + \epsilon_{i,t}$$

or

$$Y_{i,t} = \beta_1 D_{it}^{\mathsf{POST}} + \alpha_i + \mu_t + \epsilon_{i,t}$$

- D_{it}^{PRE} : 1 for indep's that change to chain, before change
- D_{it}^{POST} : 1 for indep's that change to chain, after change
- CHAIN_i: 1 for always chains
- *α_i*: pharmacy FE

E2: Change in Ownership, Regression Form

Estimate either

$$Y_{i,t} = \beta_0 D_{it}^{\mathsf{PRE}} + \beta_1 D_{it}^{\mathsf{POST}} + \beta_C \mathsf{CHAIN}_i + \mu_t + \epsilon_{i,t}$$

or

$$Y_{i,t} = \beta_1 D_{it}^{\mathsf{POST}} + \alpha_i + \mu_t + \epsilon_{i,t}$$

- D_{it}^{PRE} : 1 for indep's that change to chain, before change
- D_{it}^{POST} : 1 for indep's that change to chain, after change
- CHAIN_i: 1 for always chains
- *α_i*: pharmacy FE

• how do we interpret β_0 ?

E2: Change in Ownership, Regression Form

Estimate either

$$Y_{i,t} = \beta_0 D_{it}^{\mathsf{PRE}} + \beta_1 D_{it}^{\mathsf{POST}} + \beta_C \mathsf{CHAIN}_i + \mu_t + \epsilon_{i,t}$$

or

$$Y_{i,t} = \beta_1 D_{it}^{\mathsf{POST}} + \alpha_i + \mu_t + \epsilon_{i,t}$$

- D_{it}^{PRE} : 1 for indep's that change to chain, before change
- D_{it}^{POST} : 1 for indep's that change to chain, after change
- CHAIN_i: 1 for always chains
- *α_i*: pharmacy FE

- how do we interpret β_0 ?
- and β_1 ?

E2: Change in Ownership, Regression Form

Estimate either

$$Y_{i,t} = \beta_0 D_{it}^{\mathsf{PRE}} + \beta_1 D_{it}^{\mathsf{POST}} + \beta_C \mathsf{CHAIN}_i + \mu_t + \epsilon_{i,t}$$

or

$$Y_{i,t} = \beta_1 D_{it}^{\mathsf{POST}} + \alpha_i + \mu_t + \epsilon_{i,t}$$

- D_{it}^{PRE} : 1 for indep's that change to chain, before change
- D_{it}^{POST} : 1 for indep's that change to chain, after change
- CHAIN_i: 1 for always chains
- *α_i*: pharmacy FE

- how do we interpret β_0 ?
- and β_1 ?
- why no D_{it}^{PRE} in second equation?

E2: Change in Ownership, Results

		All				
	OLS (1)	OLS (2)	OLS (3)	OLS (4)		
D^{PRE}	1.516 (33.915)	32.777 (33.655)	-1.226 (32.747)			
D ^{POST}	$-102.89 \ (19.755)$	$-130.867 \ (19.61)$	$-153.215 \ (20.439)$	$-110.507 \ (16.65)$		
CHAIN	-49.933 (4.931)	-50.89 (4.934)	$-127.879 \ (5.912)$			
Constant	356.624 (4.883)					
Year-month fixed effects	No	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Zip code fixed effects	No	No	Yes	No		
Facility fixed effects	No	No	No	Yes		
Mean outcome	327.19	327.19	327.19	327.19		
Mean effect in percent	-31.45	-40	-46.83	-33.77		
Observations	5,055,761	5,055,761	5,055,761	5,055,761		
R^2	0.002	0.01	0.225	0.809		

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ ≧▶ ◆ ≧▶ ─ ≧ − のへぐ

E2: Change in Ownership, Event Study Estimates

From Online Appendix, Figure E.1



(a) Dispensing of all opioids in MED, facility and year-month fixed effects



(b) Dispensing of all opioids in MED, facility and ZIP code \times year-month fixed effects

Validity

Opioids

E3: Reformulation



Figure 2

Validity

Opioids

E3: Reformulation



Figure 2

- why should reformulation matter?
- what should we be comparing in this figure to see the double diff?
- what should we be comparing to look for validity?

Validity

Opioids

E3: Specification

What regression should we use to test impact of reformulation at independent pharmacies vs chains?

Event Study

Validit

Opioids

E3: Specification

What regression should we use to test impact of reformulation at independent pharmacies vs chains?

$$Y_{it} = \beta \mathsf{Indep}_i * \mathsf{Post}_t + lpha_i + \mu_t + \epsilon_{it}$$

Event Study

Validit

Opioids

E3: Specification

What regression should we use to test impact of reformulation at independent pharmacies vs chains?

$$Y_{it} = \beta \mathsf{Indep}_i * \mathsf{Post}_t + \alpha_i + \mu_t + \epsilon_{it}$$

- Why no chain indicator?
- How do we interpret β ?

E3: Reformulation, Results

	Full sample: 2006–2012			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Independent \times Post	-6.097 (0.529)	-6.436 (0.529)	-6.996 (0.565)	-5.339 (0.484)
Independent	$10.569 \\ (0.681)$	10.912 (0.683)	$18.886 \\ (0.832)$	
Post	$6.095 \\ (0.154)$			
Constant	$21.495 \\ (0.281)$			
Year-month fixed effects	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Zip code fixed effects	No	No	Yes	No
Pharmacy fixed effects	No	No	No	Yes
Mean outcome	27.14	27.14	27.14	27.14
Mean effect in percent	-22.47	-23.72	-25.78	-19.67
Observations	5,055,761	5,055,761	5,055,761	5,054,885
R^2	0.004	0.019	0.159	0.650

★ E ► ★ E ► E .

E3: Reformulation Event Study Results

Online Appendix Figure E.5



(a) Dispensing of OxyContin in MED, pharmacy and year-month fixed effects



(b) Dispensing of OxyContin in MED, pharmacy and ZIP code \times year-month fixed effects

Opioids

Next Lecture

Read

- Mastering Metrics Chapter 3
- an oldie but goodie: Angrist and Kreuger, 1991
- skim 2c
- Turn in PS 2
- Summary due next week if you're on the list

< □ > < □ > < □ > < ≧ > < ≧ > < ≧ > ≧ の Q (~ 47 / 47